

Rating Agencies Communications

August 2012

Statement of Results /Achievements

Statement of Results / Achievements

Moody's, Standard & Poor's, and Fitch Rating Agencies has assigned rating to Isle of Wight County's \$49.4 million General Obligation (GO) Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2012. Also, concurrently the Rating Agencies has affirmed the GO rating affecting approximately \$133.8 million of previously issued GO Bonds.

Year	Moody's		Rating	S & P		Fitch	
	Rating	Comment		Rating	Comment	Rating	Comment
2012	Aa2	Affirmed	AA -	Affirmed	AA	Affirmed	

Outlook Reports

- **Highlights**
- **New Issue**

Moody's

Rating Agencies Outlook Report Highlights

Moody's

- **“The Aa2 reflects the county’s sound financial management practices with a demonstrated commitment to maintaining its ample reserves despite loss of its largest taxpayer.”**
- **“STRENGTHS”**
 - **Sound financial management**
 - **Stable underlying economic indicative of the Hampton Roads region**
- **“ CHALLENGES“**
 - **Maintenance of financial stability amidst tax base volatility from assessed value declines**
 - **Return to structurally balanced operations by fiscal 2014**
 - **General Fund subsidy of water and sewer enterprise**
 - **Sizable increases to the county’s debt burden in coming years related to anticipated economic development projects**
- **FINANCIAL POSTION ...**
 - **“Moody’s anticipates the county will continue to maintain a sound financial position and return to structurally balance operations in fiscal 2014 given a history of conservative budgeting and sound financial flexibility afforded by healthy reserve levels and a demonstrated commitment to maintain operating surpluses.”**
 - **“ The county’s ability to maintain structural stability in fiscal 2013 amidst potential revenue shortfalls from delayed asset sales and/or economically sensitive revenues, as well as the county’s ability to regain structural balance in fiscal 2014 will be key indicators of long-term credit strength.”**
 - **“The county has consistently maintained a healthy financial position well above its 10% fund balance policy while transferring funds for capital and other one-time uses.”**
 - **”Preliminary fiscal 2012 results indicate a modest operating surplus, but a net \$2 million decrease after transfers ...”**
- **“WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP”**
 - **Significant growth of the underlying tax base**
 - **Adoption of structurally balance budgets**
 - **Decrease in debt burden**
- **“WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN”**
 - **Significant depletion of financial reserves**
 - **Persistent structural operational imbalance**
 - **Substantial decrease in tax base**



New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa2 rating to County of Isle of Wight's (VA) \$49.4 million General Obligation Public Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2012

Global Credit Research - 27 Aug 2012

Affirms Aa2 rating on \$133.8 million outstanding general obligation bonds

ISLE OF WIGHT (COUNTY OF) VA
Counties
VA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
General Obligation Public Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2012	Aa2
Sale Amount	\$49,440,000
Expected Sale Date	09/06/12
Rating Description	General Obligation

Moody's Outlook NOO

Opinion

NEW YORK, August 27, 2012 –Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 rating to Isle of Wight County's (VA) \$49.4 million General Obligation (GO) Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2012. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the county's Aa2 general obligation bond rating affecting approximately \$133.8 million of parity debt. The bonds are secured by the county's general obligation, unlimited tax pledge. Approximately \$19.5 million of this issuance will be used to refinance outstanding maturities of the county's 2003 and 2004 bonds for an estimated net present value savings of 11.7% of refunded bonds, with no extension of maturities. Another \$12.8 million of this issuance will be used to permanently finance bond anticipation notes originally issued for the county's utility system. The remaining \$17.3 million of this issuance will be used to finance various capital projections.

SUMMARY RATINGS RATIONALE

The Aa2 reflects the county's sound financial management practices with a demonstrated commitment to maintaining its ample reserves despite the loss of its largest taxpayer. The rating further incorporates the county's moderate tax base which has weathered the loss of its major taxpayer, stable underlying economy representative of the Hampton Roads region, and manageable debt burden.

STRENGTHS

- Sound financial management
- Stable underlying economic indicative of the Hampton Roads region

CHALLENGES

- Maintenance of financial stability amidst tax base volatility from assessed value declines
- Return to structurally balanced operations by fiscal 2014
- General Fund subsidy of water and sewer enterprise

-Sizable increases to the county's debt burden in coming years related to anticipated economic development projects

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

TAX BASE CONTRACTION RESULTING FROM LOSS OF TOP TAXPAYER; LONG-TERM STABILITY EXPECTED

Moody's expects that Isle of Wight County's \$5.5 billion tax base will exhibit long-term stability given its strategic location in the southeastern Virginia, Hampton Roads region. Furthermore, the county has demonstrated an underlying robustness to its tax base following the closure of its largest tax payer (12% of total assessed value as of fiscal 2011) and second-largest employer, International Paper. International Paper's facility, which employed approximately 1,100 or approximately 5.8% of the county's labor force at its peak, ceased operations earlier in fiscal 2011. Positively, the effects of the closures have somewhat been mitigated follow the recent announcement by International Paper to repurpose a portion of the plant for another product line, and a Tak Investments announcement to utilize a portion of the plant.

County management continues to pursue a long-term development strategy centering on a 1,500 acre Intermodal Industrial Park Complex, which has already attracted a one million square foot retail distribution center for the national retailer Cost Plus. In addition, SAFCO, a furniture retailer, recently completed a 300,000 square foot distribution center for a \$17 million investment. Currently, Green Mountain Coffee (long term rating Ba3/stable outlook) is undertaking a \$180 million project to establish and production and distribution facility. Additionally, the county expects to be well positioned to attract a number of new developments after the widening of the Panama Canal in 2014 citing the Port of Virginia's (Virginia Port Authority, Lease Revenue rating Aa1/negative outlook) ability to receive the new super-tankers and provide easy access to rail lines located in the intermodal complex. The county had experienced a healthy 7.9% average annual increase in full valuation from 2007 to 2011, inclusive of the 2007, 2009, and 2011 revaluations. For the recent 2013 revaluation, the county projects a 7% decline in real estate assessed values from 2010 due to softening in the regional housing market.

FINANCIAL POSITION EXPECTED TO REMAIN SOUND GIVEN HEALTHY RESERVE LEVELS; STRUCTURAL BALANCE ANTICIPATED BY 2014

Moody's anticipates the county will continue to maintain a sound financial position and return to structurally balance operations in fiscal 2014 given a history of conservative budgeting and sound financial flexibility afforded by healthy reserve levels and a demonstrated commitment to maintain operating surpluses. In fiscal 2013, the county balanced a 3.6% increase in spending a projected 7% decline in real assessed values with \$2.7 million in asset sales and other one-time revenues and an aggressive 24% increase (budget-to-budget, \$1.3 million) in economically sensitive taxes in comparison to historical trends. The county's ability to maintain structural stability in fiscal 2013 amidst potential revenue shortfalls from delayed asset sales and/or economically sensitive revenues, as well as the county's ability to regain structural balance in fiscal 2014 will be key indicators of long-term credit strength.

The county has consistently maintained a healthy financial position well above its 10% fund balance policy while transferring funds for capital and other one-time uses. Fiscal 2011 total General Fund balance ended at \$20.5 million or 38% of revenues of which \$19.8 million or 36.7% of revenues was unassigned. On an operating basis, which includes both the General and School Operating Funds, total fund balance was an ample \$20.5 million or a strong 40% of operating fund revenues and has consistently been more than 19% of revenues throughout the decade. During this time, the county has consistently contributed towards its ongoing capital needs. More recently the county has implemented a number of cost saving measures such as a soft hiring freeze and decreases in discretionary spending in anticipation of the loss in property tax revenues due to the closure of International Paper. Preliminary fiscal 2012 results indicate a modest operating surplus, but a net \$2 million decrease after transfers out for capital projects.

The county participates in the state's Virginia Retirement System (VRS). Pension payments for fiscal 2011 for the county and school board total \$1.8 million or 3.3% of General Fund spending. The payment constituted 100% of the annually required contribution. As of the most recent valuation o June 30, 2010 the county's pension plan was 79.7% funded with an unfunded actuarial accrued liability of \$6.4 million. The school board's plan was 88% funded and had an unfunded liability of \$585,000. Other post employment benefits are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. As of the July 1, 2010 valuation, the county has identified a combined \$8.2 million liability for both its county and school board.

In addition, the county is currently conducting a rate study for its water system to gradually reduce General Fund subsidies which equaled \$1.6 million in transfers for fiscal 2011 and transition the system to be fully self-supporting. The study is expected to incorporate sizable increases in usage stemming from a number of anticipated economic

development projects. Officials report sufficient supply to meeting these upcoming demands given its recent take-or-pay contract to the City of Norfolk (GO rated Aa2) for an additional 3.75 million gallons per day capacity.

AVERAGE DEBT BURDEN REMAINS MANAGEABLE

Isle of Wight's above average 2.8% direct debt burden will likely increase, given near-term debt plans and below average amortization of principal (48.8% retired within 10 years), but is expected to remain manageable due to the county's debt affordability policy that requires annual debt service costs to be no more than 10% of expenditures. The county's direct debt burden increases slightly to 2.9% after accounting for the overlapping debt obligations of the Towns of Smithfield and Windsor. The county's new \$118 million capital improvement plan includes \$113 million of additional borrowing over the next five years, which will significantly increase the county's debt burden. However, new issuances will be contingent upon developments at the intermodal park with the increased burden to be offset by increases to the county's tax base. The county has no exposure to variable rate debt and is not party to any derivative agreements.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Significant growth of the underlying tax base
- Adoption of structurally balanced budgets
- Decrease in debt burden

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Significant depletion of financial reserves
- Persistent structural operational imbalances
- Substantial decrease in tax base

KEY STATISTICS

2010 Population: 35,270 (18.6% increase since 2000)

2011 Full Valuation: \$5.5 billion

2011 Full Value Per Capita: \$156,273

Per Capita Income: 91.9% of VA; 108.1% of US

Median Family Income: 97.6% of VA; 113.9% of US

Direct Debt Burden: 2.8%

Overall Debt Burden: 2.9%

Payout of Principal (10 years): 48.8%

FY 2011 Total General Fund Balance: \$20.5 million (38% of General Fund revenue)

FY 2011 Unassigned General Fund Balance: \$19.8 million (36.7% of General Fund revenue)

Post-Sale Long Term Debt Outstanding: \$149.5 million

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY USED

The principal methodology used in this rating was General Obligation Bonds Issued by U.S. Local Governments published in October 2009. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The Global Scale Credit Ratings on this press release that are issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the EU

are endorsed by Moody's Investors Service Ltd., One Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E 14 5FA, UK, in accordance with Art.4 paragraph 3 of the Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 on Credit Rating Agencies. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody's office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on www.moodys.com.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings and public information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moodys.com for general disclosure on potential conflicts of interests.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moodys.com for information on (A) MCO's major shareholders (above 5%) and for (B) further information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities as well as (C) the names of entities that hold ratings from MIS that have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%. A member of the board of directors of this rated entity may also be a member of the board of directors of a shareholder of Moody's Corporation; however, Moody's has not independently verified this matter.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Analysts

Dora Lee
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Susan Kendall
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or

otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Standard & Poor's

**Rating Agencies Outlook Report
Highlights**

S & P

- **The AA - rating ... “reflects our opinion of the county’s” ...**
 - **Historically consistent property tax base growth, which has begun to decline due to the closure of the International Paper Co. facility**
 - **Continued strong financial position with very strong reserves aided by conservative fiscal policies**
 - **The county’s financial performance and position have been consistently strong though out recent fiscal years due primarily to conservative management and an appreciating tax base**
 - **...unaudited, management projects fiscal 2012 to close on a break-even basis; Management expects reserves to remain close to the prior years, following the use of roughly \$2.5 million of reserves to finance various capital projects**
 - **...property tax rates were also increase in fiscal 2012 by 25% (or 13 cents) to a current \$0.65 form \$0.52 to offset revenue loss from the International Paper plant closure. However, the county’s tax rate still trends on the lower end compared with surrounding areas**
 - **...the board will consider a 4-cent real estate adjustment in September to offset the decline in revenues stemming from the reassessment. In our opinion, the county will need to continue to work toward revenue stabilization in the coming years to ensure balanced budgets and allow for future capital needs. We expect that the newly elected board will continue to work toward and maintain its historically prudent and conservative fiscal management.**
 - **Standard & Poor’s considers the county’s financial management practices and policies “good” under it Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology...**

RatingsDirect®

Isle Of Wight County, Virginia; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Danielle L Leonardis, New York (1) 212-438-2053; danielle_leonardis@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Timothy W Barrett, New York (1) 212-438-6327; timothy_barrett@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Economy: Positive Trends, Yet Still Near-Term Challenges

Debt And Pension

Related Criteria And Research

Isle Of Wight County, Virginia; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$49.44 mil GO bnds ser 2012 A&B due 06/01/2044

<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	New
Isle of Wight Cnty GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Affirmed

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AA-' long-term rating to Isle of Wight County, Va.'s series 2012A and 2012B general obligation (GO) public improvement and refunding. At the same time, we affirmed the 'AA-' long term and underlying rating (SPUR) on the county's previously issued GO bonds. The outlook is stable.

The rating reflects our opinion of the county's:

- Largely rural, primarily residential area that benefits from its location and participation in the greater and diverse Hampton Roads regional economy;
- Historically consistent property tax base growth, which has begun to decline due to the closure of the International Paper Co. facility;
- Good wealth and income indicators, coupled with recent declines in unemployment levels due to the recoupment of several lost jobs, primarily from International Paper;
- Continued strong financial position with very strong reserves aided by conservative fiscal policies; and
- Moderate debt burden, coupled with an aggressive, yet manageable recently adopted five-year capital plan.

The county's full faith and GO credit pledge secures the bonds and notes. The county will use bond proceeds from this issue to finance various capital projects as well as refund a portion of its outstanding series 2003, 2004, and 2010B GO bonds and 2011B bond anticipation notes.

Isle of Wight County has an estimated population of 36,468. The county, located in southeastern Virginia in the Tidewater area, is still largely rural and considered part of the greater Hampton Roads region. The local economy has historically been anchored by two large employers: Smithfield Foods (5,000 employees), which serves the employment base in the county's north portion, and International Paper (1,100), which serves the county's southern portion. However, the county's economy experienced a major economic setback when, in October 2009, International Paper abruptly announced the closure of its paper mill in the county, affecting all 1,100 employees. Following the closure, unemployment moderately spiked, but has begun to decline, and was 5.6% in May of 2012. County unemployment has historically been slightly below commonwealth and national rates. Since then, the county has begun to experience economic growth, which should aid in the restoration of jobs over the next several years. In May of 2011, Governor McDonnell announced that International Paper would invest \$83 million to re-purpose a portion of the plant as a fluff pulp mill, creating an initial 213 new jobs. In addition, Tak Investments Inc. is set to invest \$60 million to establish a recycled tissue plant in the county creating 85 new jobs using a portion of the IP facility. Furthermore, Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc. will soon open its \$180 million roasting, grinding, and packaging facility for its single portion

K-cups in the S.T. Holland Industrial Park. Employment will initially start at 200 but rise to 800 over the next five years. According to a report published by the Virginia Economic Development Partnership, it expects the region to recoup most of the 1,100 jobs lost from the International Paper plant closure by 2017. However, the county does not expect revenues from Green Mountain and the International Paper plant to offset revenues lost when the paper mill closed. Revenue generation remains at the forefront of management's concerns.

Through modest economic development and ongoing residential construction, primarily in the Smithfield area, the property tax base has historically experienced healthy growth. However, because of the closure of the International Paper plant, assessed value declined by 3.8% to \$5.51 billion in fiscal 2011 and there was another more sizeable decline, of 10.4% in fiscal 2012 to a current \$4.936 billion. We consider wealth and income levels good at 99% of the commonwealth's level and 113% of the nation's level.

The county's financial performance and position have been consistently strong throughout recent fiscal years due primarily to conservative management and an appreciating tax base. The county closed fiscal 2011 with a roughly \$951,000 surplus, net of transfers. The general fund balance (almost all of which was unassigned) totaled \$20.48 million, which we view as very-strong at 39.8% of expenditures. Although still unaudited, management projects fiscal 2012 to close on a break-even basis as revenues and expenditures tracked on par with budget. Management expects reserves to remain close to the prior years, following the use of roughly \$2.5 million of reserves to finance various capital projects. In December of 2011, following the Green Mountain announcement, the county reduced the machinery and tools (M&T) tax rate from 95 cents to 70 cents to attract Green Mountain and other prospective companies. Although this rate decrease did not have an impact on fiscal 2012 revenues, the county is projecting a modest decline in M&T revenues in fiscal 2013. Management expects to restore revenue levels in fiscal 2014 as Green Mountain brings their equipment on line. As previously stated, property tax rates were also increased in fiscal 2012 by 25% (or 13 cents) to a current \$0.65 from \$0.52 to offset revenue loss from the International Paper plant closure. However, the county's tax rate still trends on the lower end compared with surrounding areas.

The county's fiscal 2013 general fund budget totals \$94.46 million -- an increase of 3.6% from the previous year. To avoid another property tax rate increase, the new county board included the sale of certain county-owned property (roughly \$3 million) as revenue to balance the budget. Should the sale of the property not occur within the fiscal 2013 year, the board has stated it will make cuts elsewhere, and could include layoffs. Furthermore, the board will consider a 4-cent real estate adjustment in September to offset the decline in revenues stemming from the reassessment. In our opinion, the county will need to continue to work toward revenue stabilization in the coming years to ensure balanced budgets and allow for future capital needs. We expect that the newly elected board will continue to work toward and maintain its historically prudent and conservative fiscal management.

Standard & Poor's considers the county's financial management practices and policies "good" under its Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology, indicating financial practices exist in most areas but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them. Management has indicated that, with the help of its financial advisor, the county could look to modify or add to the existing polices. However, there have been no changes yet.

The county's estimated overall debt burden is moderate at \$4,162 per capita, or low at 3.1% of market value.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the county's somewhat limited, yet growing, local economy with participation in the broader Hampton Roads regional economy. The county's strong financial position, though it has been recently challenged, manageable debt levels, and capital needs provide rating stability. As such, we do not expect to revise the rating within the two-year horizon of our outlook. However, if the county stabilizes and maintains its revenues through this economic downturn and economic development continues as projected, we could consider a higher rating.

Economy: Positive Trends, Yet Still Near-Term Challenges

To diversify the local economy and capitalize on the growing importance of the region's port business, the county plans to develop a 1,500-acre, publicly owned intermodal park. The park, designed for light-to-moderate industrial use, such as warehousing and distribution operations, will be developed in three phases. Phase I has already been completed. Cost Plus World Market, a national retailer, Safco, an office products supplier, and Green Mountain Coffee Roasters have already made investments in the park.

Through modest economic development and ongoing residential construction, primarily in the Smithfield area, the property tax base has historically experienced healthy growth. However, because of the closure of the International Paper plant, assessed value declined by 3.8% to \$5.51 billion in fiscal 2011 and there was another more sizeable decline, of 10.4% in fiscal 2012 to a current \$4.936 billion. Nevertheless, this equates to a per capita market value of \$137,945, which we consider extremely strong. Management projects that fiscal 2013 assessed value to decline by another 7% following a property revaluation. To offset revenue losses, the county adopted a 13-cent property tax rate increase in the fiscal 2012 budget, which is almost equal to the estimated loss from the International Paper plant closure. However, tax collection rates remain strong, in our view, averaging more than 99% annually over the past five years. We consider wealth and income levels good at 99% of the commonwealth's level and 113% of the nation's level.

Debt And Pension

The county's estimated overall debt burden is moderate at \$4,162 per capita, or low at 3.1% of market value. Principal amortization is slightly below average with 43% maturing in 10 years, 83% in 20 years, and 99% in 30 years. Debt service is low, in our view, and accounting for 5.2% of expenditures in fiscal 2011. The county's newly adopted 2013-2017 capital improvement plan (CIP) totals \$118.4 million, with much of it dedicated to public utilities, schools, and economic development. Management has indicated that it is only a plan and would not hold itself to all commitments if it would jeopardize the county's solid financial position. Additional bond issuances will fund a significant portion (96%) of the CIP.

The county and school board contribute to the Virginia Retirement System (VRS) at 100% of annual pension costs. The county pays its other postemployment benefit (OPEB) costs on a pay-as-you-go basis. The county and school board do not intend to establish a trust to prefund this liability. Pension and OPEB costs accounted for 3.9% of total governmental expenditures in fiscal 2011.

Related Criteria And Research

- USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006
- USPF Criteria: Key General Obligation Ratio Credit Ranges – Analysis Vs. Reality, April 2, 2008
- USPF Criteria: Financial Management Assessment, June 27, 2006

Ratings Detail (As Of August 29, 2012)

Isle of Wight Cnty GO

<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
--------------------------	------------------	----------

Isle of Wight Cnty GO pub imp & rfdg bnds dtd 02/01/2003 due 02/01/2004-2023

<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA-(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
--------------------------	------------------	----------

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Copyright © 2012 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

McGRAW-HILL

Fitch

**Rating Agencies Outlook Report
Highlights**

Fitch

- **The AA rating reflects the county’s “diversifying economy, ample financial flexibility and average debt levels”.**
- **“Financial operations are consistent and strong, and reserve levels are sound. Despite a second consecutive year of property tax revenue declines mainly due to the closure of the International Paper mill, the county recorded a modest...net operating surplus after transfers at year-end fiscal 2011.”**
- **“The fiscal 2012 budget was adopted with a 13 cent tax rate increase and no general fund balance appropriation. The tax rate increase more than offsets a 14.2% decline in taxable assessed value between fiscal years 2010 and 2012. Break-even operating results anticipated for fiscal 2012”**
- **“ The fiscal 2013budget is balanced, with no property tax rate increase and a modest \$300,000 fund balance appropriation for school capital needs.”**
- **“Fitch expects the county to continue to maintain ample reserve levels based on historically conservative and prudent financial management.”**

Isle of Wight County, Virginia

General Obligation Bonds

New Issue Report

Ratings

New Issue

General Obligation Public
Improvement and Refunding
Bonds, Series 2012 A/B AA

Outstanding Debt

General Obligation Bonds AA

General Obligation Utility Notes,
Series 2011B F1+

General Obligation Public
Improvement Notes, Series 2010B F1+

Rating Outlook

Stable

New Issue Details

Sale Information: \$49,440,000 General Obligation Public Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2012 A/B, via negotiated sale on Sept. 10.

Security: The full faith, credit, and unlimited taxing power of Isle of Wight County.

Purpose: To provide new money for capital projects and permanently finance the GO public improvement notes series 2010B and GO utility notes series 2011B and refund various maturities of the series 2003 and 2004 GO bonds.

Final Maturity: 2044.

Key Rating Drivers

Diversifying Economy: The county's employment base remains heavily concentrated in manufacturing, although this risk is somewhat offset by the diversity exhibited in the broader regional economy and continued local investment in nonmanufacturing enterprises attributed in part to growth of the regional port.

Ample Financial Flexibility: Solid reserve levels as well as competitive tax rates enhance the county's financial flexibility and offset the impact of lost property tax revenues from the closure of International Paper, Inc.

Average Debt Levels: Debt levels should remain average, given the county's manageable capital needs.

Short-Term Rating Rationale: The 'F1+' rating reflects the county's long-term creditworthiness and prior experience in the capital markets, implying market access to refinance the notes upon their maturity.

Related Research

[Fitch Rates Isle of Wight County, VA's GO Bonds 'AA'; Outlook Stable \(August 2012\)](#)

Analysts

Evette Caze
+1 212 908-0376
evette.caze@fitchratings.com

Kevin Dolan
+1 212 908-0538
kevin.dolan@fitchratings.com

Rating History — GOs

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AA	Affirmed	Stable	8/27/12
AA	Affirmed	Stable	6/2/11
AA	Affirmed	Stable	9/13/10
AA	Revised	Stable	4/30/10
AA-	Affirmed	Stable	3/19/10
AA-	Assigned	Stable	11/21/08

Rating History — Short Term

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
F1+	Affirmed	—	8/27/12
F1+	Affirmed	—	6/2/11
F1+	Assigned	—	3/19/10

General Fund Financial Summary

(\$000, Audited Fiscal Years Ended June 30)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Property Tax Revenue	34,739	36,455	39,132	38,385	37,865
Sales Tax Revenue	1,970	3,587	3,409	3,109	3,404
Other Tax Revenue	3,846	2,806	2,762	2,719	2,919
Total Tax Revenue	40,555	42,848	45,303	44,213	44,188
License and Permits	705	423	426	407	443
Fines and Forfeits	48	101	66	60	76
Charges for Services	835	797	901	924	1,342
Intergovernmental Revenue	8,340	8,106	8,125	9,225	7,594
Other Revenue	2,400	1,941	1,225	1,214	308
General Fund Revenue	52,883	54,216	56,046	56,043	53,951
General Government	5,119	5,634	5,638	5,840	5,263
Public Safety	4,950	5,716	6,250	5,957	5,737
Public Works	4,064				
Health and Social Services	847	898	1,010	966	902
Culture and Recreation	1,685	1,947	2,063	2,273	2,195
Educational	18,701	21,788	23,474	25,059	25,005
Debt Service	6,873	6,114	6,666	3,275	2,819
Other	2,911	8,377	9,375	8,985	9,479
General Fund Expenditures	45,150	50,474	54,476	52,355	51,400
General Fund Surplus	7,733	3,742	1,570	3,688	2,551
Transfers In	0	0	0	22	0
Other Sources	0	10,000	25,103	1,022	14,286
Transfers Out	10,176	14,811	32,122	1,586	1,789
Other Uses	0	47	352	32	14,137
Net Transfers and Other	(10,176)	(4,858)	(7,371)	(574)	(1,640)
Net Surplus/(Deficit)	(2,443)	(1,116)	(5,801)	3,114	911
Total Fund Balance	23,368	22,252	16,451	19,565	20,475
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out, and Other Uses	42.2	34.1	18.9	36.2	30.4
Unreserved Fund Balance	23,368	22,252	16,451	19,565	—
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out, and Other Uses	42.2	34.1	18.9	36.2	—
Unrestricted Fund Balance ^a	—	—	—	—	20,296
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out, and Other Uses	—	—	—	—	30.1

^aReflects GASB 54 classifications: sum of committed, assigned, and unassigned. Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Credit Profile

Manufacturing Based Economy Beginning to Diversify

Isle of Wight is located in the Hampton Roads region of southeastern Virginia. Its economy, while still concentrated in manufacturing (29% of employment), is diversifying as a result of the increased demand for warehousing and distribution that is driven by the growth of the nearby Port of Virginia. Fitch Ratings anticipates that the presence of the port, with its commercial and military activities, will help continue to broaden the local economy.

The county's employment base has done well to recover from the loss of 1,100 jobs due to the closure of International Paper in 2010. Green Mountain Coffee Roasters, Inc. is set to open a \$180 million distribution facility in the county and add 800 employees over five years. Also, Tak Investments, Inc. will open a \$60 million recycled tissue plant that is expected to employ

Related Criteria

U.S. Local Government Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (August 2012)

Tax-Supported	Rating	Criteria
(August 2012)		

85 people. Lastly, International Paper opened a new fluff fiber operation that employs about 220 people.

The county's unemployment rate tracks well below the national average and has significantly improved from a high of 7.1% in 2010, reflecting some International Paper-related job losses to 5.6% as of May 2012. Median household income is on par with state levels but exceeds national averages.

Ample Reserve Levels

Financial operations are consistent and strong, and reserve levels are sound. Despite a second consecutive year of property tax revenue declines mainly due to the closure of the International Paper mill, the county recorded a modest \$911,000 (1.35% of spending) net operating surplus after transfers at year-end fiscal 2011. The unrestricted general fund balance (the sum of assigned, unassigned, and committed reserves under GASB 54) totaled \$20.2 million, or an ample 30.1% of operating expenditures and transfers out.

Break-Even Operating Results Anticipated for Fiscal 2012

The fiscal 2012 budget was adopted with a 13 cent tax rate increase and no general fund balance appropriation. The tax rate increase more than offsets a 14.2% decline in taxable assessed value between fiscal years 2010 and 2012. The budget also prudently assumes for a 97.8% collection rate although collections have historically exceeded 99.0%. Management expects to report actual year-end results that are closely aligned with the budget.

Balanced Fiscal 2013 Budget

The fiscal 2013 budget is balanced, with no property tax rate increase and a modest \$300,000 fund balance appropriation for school capital needs. Fitch expects the county to continue to maintain ample reserve levels based on historically conservative and prudent financial management. The county's tax rate is reportedly amongst the lowest in the region, and combined with ample reserves, provides for a strong level of financial flexibility.

Average Debt Profile

Fitch expects overall debt ratios, at 2.9% of market value, will remain average, given the county's affordable additional borrowing plans. Debt service expenditures account for a low approximately 5% of spending, and amortization is average (49% in 10 years).

The county's fiscal years 2013–2017 capital improvement plan (CIP) totals \$118.4 million. The plan will be nearly 100% debt financed, including \$19.8 million to be funded with the current issuance, which may increase debt ratios as annual debt plans are greater than the annual principal amount amortized. Utility needs represent nearly one-third of the projects.

Debt Statistics

(\$000)

This Issue	49,440
Outstanding Direct Debt – Net of Refunding	101,150
Total Net Direct Debt	150,590
Overlapping Debt	6,501
Total Overall Debt	157,091

Debt Ratios

Net Direct Debt Per Capita (\$) ^a	4,259
As % of Market Value ^b	2.7
Overall Debt Per Capita (\$) ^a	4,443
As % of Market Value ^b	2.9

^aPopulation: 35,356 (2011). ^bMarket value: \$5,511,733,000 (2011).
Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

The county participates in the statewide Virginia Retirement System in a separate cost-sharing pool. The plan is adequately funded at 80% and assumes a discount rate of 7%. The county regularly contributes its annual required contribution (ARC). For fiscal 2011, the \$1.77 million ARC equaled a modest 3.3% of spending. The ARC to amortize the county's other post-employment benefits is very manageable, at less than 1% of spending, although the county currently funds this cost on a pay-as-you-go basis.

The ratings above were solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer, and therefore, Fitch has been compensated for the provision of the ratings.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTP://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](http://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE.

Copyright © 2012 by Fitch, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. One State Street Plaza, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.